

PROCESS		DESCRIPTION	STRENGTH	FINISH	EXAMPLE MATERIALS
SLA	Stereolithography	Laser-cured photopolymer	2,500-10,000 (psi) 17.2-68.9 (mpa)	Additive layers of 0.002-0.006 in. (0.051-0.152mm) typical	Thermoplastic-like photopolymers
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering	Laser-sintered powder	5,300-11,300 (psi) 36.5-77.9 (mpa)	Additive layers of 0.004 in. (0.102mm) typical	Nylon, TPU
DMLS	Direct Metal Laser Sintering	Laser-sintered metal powder	37,700-190,000 (psi)	Additive layers of 0.0008-0.0012 in. (0.020-0.030mm) typical	Stainless steel, titanium, chrome, aluminum, Inconel
FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling	Fused extrusions	5,200-9,800 (psi) 35.9-67.6 (mpa)	Additive layers of 0.005-0.013 in. (0.127-0.330mm) typical	ABS, PC, PC/ABS, PPSU
MJF	Multi Jet Fusion	Inkjet array selectively fusing across bed of nylon powder	6,960 (psi) 48 (mpa)	Additive layers of 0.0035-0.008 in. (0.089-0.203mm) typical	Black Nylon 12
PJET	PolyJet	UV-cured jetted photopolymer	7,200-8,750 (psi) 49.6-60.3 (mpa)	Additive layers of 0.0006-0.0012 in. (0.015-0.030mm) typical	Acrylic-based photopolymers, elastomeric photopolymers
CNC	Computer Numerically Controlled Machining	Machined using CNC mills and lathes	3,000-20,000 (psi) 20.7-137.9 (mpa)	Subtractive machined (smooth)	Most commodity and engineering-grade thermoplastics and metals
IM	Injection Molding	Injection-molded using aluminum tooling	3,100-20,000 (psi) 21.4-137.9 (mpa)	Molded smooth (or with selected texture)	Most commodity and engineering-grade thermoplastics, metal, and liquid silicone rubber

SLA	STEREOLITHOGRAPHY	
	<p>SLA is an industrial 3D printing, or additive manufacturing, process that builds parts in a pool of UV-curable photopolymer resin using a computer controlled laser. The laser is used to trace out and cure a cross-section of the part design on the surface of the liquid resin. The solidified layer is then lowered just below the surface of the liquid resin and the process is repeated. Each newly cured layer adheres to the layer below it. This process continues until the part is completed.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>For concept models, cosmetic prototypes, and complex designs, SLA can produce parts with intricate geometries and excellent surface finishes as compared to other additive processes. Cost is competitive and the technology is available from several sources.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>Prototype parts may not be as strong as those made from engineering-grade resins, so the parts made using SLA have limited use for functional testing. Additionally, while parts undergo a UV-cycle to solidify the outer surface of the part, parts built in SLA should be used with minimal UV and humidity exposure so they don't degrade.</p> </div> </div>	
SLS	SELECTIVE LASER SINTERING	
	<p>SLS is one of five additive processes available at Protolabs. During the SLS process, a computer-controlled CO<sub>2</sub> laser draws onto a hot bed of nylon-based powder from the bottom up, where it lightly sinters (fuses) the powder into a solid. After each layer, a roller lays a fresh layer of powder on top of the bed and the process repeats. SLS uses either rigid nylon or elastomeric TPU powders similar to actual engineering thermoplastics, so parts exhibit greater toughness and are accurate, but have rough surface and lack fine details. SLS offers a large build volume, can produce parts with highly complex geometries and create durable prototypes.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>SLS parts tend to be more accurate and durable than SLA parts. The process can make durable parts with complex geometries, and is suitable for some functional testing.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>The parts have a grainy or sandy texture and the process has a limited resin choice.</p> </div> </div>	
DMLS	DIRECT METAL LASER SINTERING	
	<p>DMLS is an additive manufacturing technology that produces metal prototypes and functional, end-use parts. DMLS uses a laser system that draws onto a surface of atomized metal powder. Where it draws, it welds the powder into a solid. After each layer, a blade adds a fresh layer of powder and repeats the process. DMLS can use most alloys, allowing prototypes to be full-strength, functional hardware made out of the same material as production components. It also has the potential, if designed with manufacturability in mind, to transition into metal injection molding when increased production if needed</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>DMLS produces strong (typically, 97 percent dense) prototypes from a variety of metals that can be used for functional testing. Since the components are built layer by layer, it is possible to design internal features and passages that could not be cast or otherwise machined. Mechanical properties parts are equal to conventionally formed parts.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>If producing more than a few DMLS parts, costs can rise. Due to the powdered metal origin of the direct metal process, the surface finish of these parts are slightly rough. The process itself is relatively slow and also usually requires expensive post-processing.</p> </div> </div>	
FDM	FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING	
 <p>Photo courtesy: Stratasys</p>	<p>FDM uses an extrusion method that melts and re-solidifies thermoplastic resin (ABS, polycarbonate, or ABS/polycarbonate blend) in layers to form a finished prototype. Because it uses real thermoplastic resins, it is stronger than binder jetting and may be of limited use for functional testing.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>FDM parts are moderately priced relatively strong, and can be good for some functional testing. The process can make parts with complex geometries.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>The parts have a poor surface finish, with a pronounced rippled effect. It is also a slower additive process than SLA or SLS and has limited suitability for functional testing.</p> </div> </div>	
MJF	MULTI JET FUSION	
	<p>MJF uses an inkjet array to selectively apply fusing and detailing agents across a bed of nylon powder, which are then fused by heating elements into a solid layer. After each layer, powder is distributed on top of the bed and the process repeats until the part is complete. When the build finishes, the entire powder bed with the encapsulated parts is moved to a processing station where a majority of the loose powder is removed by an integrated vacuum. Parts are then bead blasted to remove any of the remaining residual powder before ultimately reaching the finishing department where they are dyed black to improve cosmetic appearance.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>MJF is fast—producing functional nylon prototypes and end-use production parts in as fast as one day. Final parts exhibit quality surface finishes, fine feature resolution, and more consistent mechanical properties when compared to processes such as SLS.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>Currently MJF is limited to PA12 nylon, and SLS has better small feature accuracy (small feature tolerances).</p> </div> </div>	
PJET	POLYJET	
	<p>PolyJet uses a print head to spray layers of photopolymer resin that are cured, one after another, using ultraviolet light. The layers are very thin allowing quality resolution. The material is supported by gel matrix that is removed after completion of the part. Elastomeric parts are possible with PolyJet.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>This process is moderately priced, can prototype overmolded parts with flexible and rigid materials, can produce parts in multiple color options, and easily duplicates complex geometries.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>PolyJet parts have limited strength (comparable to SLA) and are not suitable for functional testing. While PolyJet can make parts with complex geometries, it gives no insight into the eventual manufacturability of the design. Also, colors can yellow when exposed to light over time.</p> </div> </div>	
CNC	COMPUTER NUMERICALLY CONTROLLED MACHINING	
	<p>In machining, a solid block (or rod stock) of plastic or metal is clamped into a CNC mill or lathe respectively and cut into a finished part through a subtractive process. This method generally produces superior strength and surface finish to any additive manufacturing process. It also has the complete, homogenous properties of the plastic because it is made from solid blocks of extruded or compression molded thermoplastic resin, as opposed to most additive processes, which use plastic-like materials and are built in layers. The range of material choices allows parts to be made with the desired material properties, such as: tensile strength, impact resistance, heat deflection temperatures, chemical resistance, and biocompatibility. Good tolerances yield parts suitable for fit and functional testing, jigs and fixtures, and functional components for end-use applications. A number of manufacturers, including Protolabs, use 3-axis milling and 5-axis indexed milling processes along with turning to manufacture parts in a range of engineering-grade plastics and metals.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>Machined parts have good surface finishes and are quite strong because they use engineering-grade thermoplastics and metals. Like 3D printing, custom prototypes can be delivered in as fast as one day at some suppliers.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>There may be some geometry limitations associated with CNC machining, and it is sometimes more expensive to do this in-house than 3D printing processes. Because the process is removing material instead of adding it, milling undercuts can sometimes be difficult.</p> </div> </div>	
IM	INJECTION MOLDING	
	<p>Rapid injection molding works by injecting thermoplastic resins into a mold, just as in production injection molding. What makes the process “rapid” is the technology used to produce the mold, which is often made from aluminum instead of the traditional steel used in production molds. Molded parts are strong and have excellent finishes. It is also the industry standard production process for plastic parts, so there are inherent advantages to prototyping in the same process if the situation allows. Almost any engineering-grade plastic or liquid silicone rubber (LSR) can be used, so the designer is not constrained by the material limitations of the prototyping process.</p> <div> <div> <p><b>Pros</b></p> <p>Molded parts are made from an array of engineering-grade materials, have excellent surface finish, and are an excellent predictor of manufacturability during the production phase.</p> </div> <div> <p><b>Cons</b></p> <p>There is an initial tooling cost associated with rapid injection molding that does not occur with any of the additive processes or with CNC machining. So in most cases, it makes sense to do one or two rounds of rapid prototypes (subtractive or additive) to check fit and function before moving to injection molding.</p> </div> </div>	